

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | • | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 09/828,953 | 04/10/2001 | Paul E. Arrasmith | JEK/JJC/Arrasmith | 6969 | |
| 75 | 590 12/23/2003 | EXAMINER | | | |
| BACON & THOMAS, PLLC | | | FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR | | |
| 625 Slaters Lane, 4th Floor Alexandria, VA 22314-1176 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ••, · · · | | | 3724 | · · | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003 | φ | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | A | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Ap | plication No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office A 44 au Communication | | | /828,953 | | ARRASMITH ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Exa | aminer | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | 1 | nar Flores-Sánchez | | 3724 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for R ply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | | |
| | Responsive to communication(s) filed | l on <i>01 March</i> | 1020. | | | | | | |
| | | _ | on is non-final. | | | | | | |
| |) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | · | • | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the app | lication. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| i | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | • | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restrict | ion and/or ele | ction requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmer | | | _ | | | | | | |
| 2) D Notic | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa | • | 5) Notice of | | PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to applicant's amendment received on 10/20/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by E. G.

Blachere.

Blachere discloses the invention including a circular rear base plate 12, plurality of food

conveying paddles 6 being rectilinear in shape, the end of each of the paddle located adjacent the

rear base plate being located at least in partially trailing relationship (see, Fig. 2), one forward

located annular ring 22 and a constant angle greater than 0° and less than 30° or 40° (see, Fig. 1).

Also, Blachere's device is capable of performing the function set forth in the preamble.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant argues that Blachere does not disclose vanes extending at angle relative to the

radius of the cylinder. However, Blachere teaches vanes 6 extending at angle relative to the

radius of the cylinder illustrated in Fig. 2.

In response to applicant's argument that "there is no disclosure or suggestion in Blachere

of the vanes being capable of conveying elongated food product by axially aligning such food

Art Unit: 3724

against a base plate", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,953

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs

December 18, 2003

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER